



MINUTES

ENGAGING AND COMMUNICATING WITH OUR COMMUNITY

KENDALL
13 April 2016

PRESENT:

Mayor Peter Besseling
Deputy Mayor Lisa Intemann
Councillor Adam Roberts
Councillor Justin Levido
Councillor Geoffrey Hawkins
Councillor Trevor Sargeant
Councillor Michael Cusato

Craig Swift-McNair (General Manager)
Rebecca Olsen (Director Corporate and Organisational Services)
Jeffery Sharp (Director of Infrastructure and Asset Management)
Tricia Bulic (Director of Community and Economic Growth)
Matt Rogers (Director of Development and Environment Services)

37 community members

APOLOGIES:

Councillor Sharon Griffiths
Councillor Robert Turner

The Mayor welcomed those in attendance and introduced the Councillors and Council staff members present.

Applicant	Mr & Mrs Bill & Betty Boyd Kendall Heritage Society Inc
Question 1 Submitted	Kendall Park - Access from Services Club: Has any planning taken place yet for visitors to the Services Club being able to access this park safely from the Club?
Comments	Council staff are currently in discussion with Mrs Boyd around this issue and are looking for a solution, however there is nothing further to report on this at this stage.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	Mrs. Boyd tabled a letter to Council from October 2015 relating to this issue for the review of the Director Infrastructure & Asset Management (DIAM). Action - the DIAM will continue to work with Mrs Boyd on finding a solution to this issue.
Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8976/2016. The Mayor met with Betty Boyd on 5 May 2016 regarding this matter and internal discussions are continuing.

Applicant	Ms Kate Ginn
Question 2 Submitted	Why would Council, when they can see there is a development happening which will have an adverse effect on an existing resident (where objections have already been lodged), not offer some mediation between developer and the affected resident?
Comments	Council will attempt to facilitate outcomes associated with development where there are concerns raised by residents. However, Council's ability to effect outcomes is limited by its role as a planning authority and within the planning laws of NSW. There are specialised mediation services available to assist where any issues of contention are beyond the scope of Council's role.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	Ms Ginn addressed the meeting with regard to the issues raised above. The Director Development & Environment (DDE) addressed the meeting and spoke to the response above with regard to mediation etc and committed to meeting residents on site on 18 April 2016 in relation to compliance matters relating to the Poets Ridge development.

Applicant	Ms Kate Ginn
Question 3 Submitted	Why did the planning department allow so many revisions on Lot 226 Batar Creek Road development, which is having an adverse effect on one particular house? It's all very well to say it falls within the guidelines but is there no "neighbourly" guideline that can be negotiated?
Comments	Council must deal with an application that is submitted to it within the framework of the NSW planning laws and local planning instruments. Council has no authority to limit the number of applications and/or revisions that an applicant may apply for. While there is a process for residents and community to comment upon a development proposal, that process does not provide for a complying development to be over ruled because of objection, nor is it a process of negotiation between the developer and the objector.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>The DDE addressed the meeting and spoke to the response above.</p> <p>The Mayor asked questions of the DDE relating to development approval revisions and what happens if revisions do not comply with the original approval.</p> <p>The DDE responded in regard to compliance action being a likely response if works do not comply with the original consent.</p> <p>Clr Levido asked the DDE about when neighbours get notified of revisions etc following the original approval. Clr Levido described these revisions as potentially being revisions-on-the-run. The DDE took this on notice with regard to the processes that have taken place with this development and will provide a further response.</p> <p>One resident spoke about retaining walls at this development now being some 2 to 3 metres high which are non-compliant. The DDE took this issue on notice to investigate further.</p> <p>Clr Hawkins asked about when an objector is notified of any changes. The DDE responded that once a submission is amended, the people who have made submissions are all notified.</p> <p>Clr Levido answered questions from the floor with regard to the State planning legislation and the little power Council can have some times in working within that legislation.</p> <p>Clr Intemann asked the DDE about the State governments desire to get some planning consistent across the State, but questioned where amenity come into things. The DDE responded that the Development Control Plan (DCP) is where amenity is managed by Council, however a DCP is only considered a guideline and Council cannot enforce it.</p> <p>Action - DDE to prepare a briefing to Councillors on the issue of this development with regard to notifications and potential compliance</p>

	issues with those revisions. Following that briefing further information will be provided to Ms Ginn and other impacted residents.
Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8977/2016. Site meeting and inspection held on 18 April 2016 and a follow up email was provided Ms Ginn dated 21/4/16.

Applicant	Ms Margot McLennan
Question 4 Submitted	I have recently heard a quote referring to suburbs and/or villages as somewhere with "a sense of place". I would ask Council to explain in what way that the permitted "medium density housing" on the lower part of the "Poet's Ridge" (Henry Kendall is now spinning in his grave!) conforms to the sense of place that is Kendall. There are <u>NO OTHER</u> instances of medium density housing within Kendall. There are no facilities to cater for the population expansion that medium density housing will bring. For that matter, the infrastructure is inadequate to the existing population so how it is meant to support a medium density housing expansion is beyond my comprehension. I would like more details relating to this medium density housing development which, I believe, is to cater to low income earners/refugees. The detail to date has been scanty at best and it is time that Council wan open and honest with the Kendall Community.
Comments	<p>Some of the development at Poet's Ridge, while different to existing single dwelling development in Kendall, is still classified as low density residential development. Villas and townhouse development are permissible in the General Residential zone and this is mandated by the NSW planning scheme. The General Residential zone applies to all of Kendall including the Poet's Ridge subdivision.</p> <p>Council has approved a residential development at Poet's ridge. Council has no power to control the nature of residential use in its role as a planning authority. This means that the dwellings can be used by housing providers as rental accommodation. Council does not regulate the activity of housing providers.</p> <p>It is considered that the services and facilities that are available within Kendall and the Camden Haven are suitable for the level of growth that can occur in Kendall. The planning scheme also allows for development of additional services to serve the town as demand arises.</p>

Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>The DDE discussed the response above and explained what Council's role is in such developments i.e. Council approves residential developments, however Council cannot control who the developer sells lots to. The State legislation provides for what is reflected in our Local Environmental Plans (LEP) which allows such developments to take place.</p> <p>The Mayor responded to questions from the floor about minimum lot size. The DDE explained how the State government is pushing for higher density developments across NSW.</p>
--	--

Applicant	Ms Helen McCready
Question 5 Submitted	Why do Council staff refuse to talk through issues? ie I invited them to attend a Community Justice Mediation session to discuss their unjust treatment of me and they refused.
Comments	As previously advised to Ms McCready and the Community Justice Centre, it is not the role of Council to mediate between neighbours, which is the issue in this instance.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>Ms McCready addressed the meeting with regard to the above issue. The DDE explained why Council does not get involved in mediation between neighbours.</p> <p>Action - the DDE will follow up on this compliance action with Ms McCready.</p>
Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8978/2016. Council has contacted Mrs McCready directly regarding this matter.

Applicant	Mr Norman Tosh
Question 6 Submitted	Apparent discontinuation of roadside slashing.
Comments	Batar Creek Road is on the slashing programme with an expected return date of November 2016. The slasher is currently north of the Hastings River with approximately 6 months worth of roads to complete prior to returning to Batar Creek Road. Slashing on Batar Creek Road has been risk assessed and rated as a medium risk.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>The DIAM addressed the meeting in line with the response above.</p> <p>The Mayor explained that the 2016-2017 Operational Plan (incl Budget) will be on public exhibition on Thursday 21 April 2016, following Councils consideration of the document at the next Council meeting.</p> <p>A question from the floor was would it not be cheaper to use private contractors for slashing work? The DIAM responded that we do use contractors at times; however we are required to have a team of staff with the contractor to undertake these works from a traffic management perspective so it often ends up not being very more cost effective.</p>
Completed Action	<p>Council staff have not attended Batar Creek Road with the outreach mower since February 2014. The outreach mower works across the Local Government Area on a 5 year maintenance schedule. It is anticipated that, in line with this schedule, the outreach mower will attend Batar Creek Road again in the coming 2-3 years.</p> <p>Council has not slashed this road in line with the maintenance schedule since February 2015, however this location has been attended to during 2016 in response to specific customer requests to improve sight lines. Council staff have recently inspected the area and do not report sight line issues and as such, this area is considered a low priority when compared to other similar requests across the Local Government Area.</p>

Applicant	Mr Norman Tosh
Question 7 Submitted	Inadequate maintenance of timber road bridge on Batar Creek Road, Kendall and refusal to accept responsibility for damage.
Comments	<p>Maintenance works were last undertaken by Council's bridge crew this year between 21/1/2016 and 2/2/2016 to remove and replace the piers of this bridge, ensuring the bridge remains open for traffic.</p> <p>Council is aware that the timber bridge on Batar Creek Road has reached the end of its life and as such planning is underway for its complete replacement next financial year with a concrete culvert. Council was successful in receiving Federal funding in February to cover half the construction costs.</p> <p>Any claims for damages are assessed on merit.</p>
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>Mr Tosh addressed the meeting with regard to the issue above.</p> <p>The DIAM responded that we have been successful in obtaining a grant from the Federal government for the replacement of this bridge and we will confirm the details of the likely replacement of the bridge in the minutes.</p> <p>The Director Corporate & Organisational Services (DCOS) responded with regard to the insurance claim process Council must undertake with regard to any insurance claims made.</p> <p>Action - the DIAM to confirm details with regard to likely timing of the replacement of the bridge, along with any other bridges in this locality.</p>
Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8980/2016. Construction is expected to commence on both the Albert Street bridge and O'Neills Bridge on Batar Creek Road this calendar year. Both bridges are expected to be completed by the end of the 2016/17 financial year.

Applicant	Mr Norman Tosh
Question 8 Submitted	Council's own dumping of trees on roadside.
Comments	Council receives exemptions to stockpile materials, including green waste taken from the road reserves within Council land. Stockpiles are temporary storage locations, with materials either removed to a waste facility, incorporated back into the road or burnt.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>Mr Tosh addressed the meeting with regard to the above issue.</p> <p>The DIAM responded in line with the information provided above.</p> <p>Clr Sargeant asked the DIAM whether Council had a Chipper that could be used for such works. The DIAM stated that Council do own a Chipper however it is in use across the entire local government area and also has size limitations as to what it can be used for.</p> <p>Action - the DIAM will investigate this issue further with regard to where materials such as this should be stockpiled i.e. to ensure such stockpiles are not impeding local properties.</p>
Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8981/2016. Council's Operations Manager for Transport and Stormwater Networks has inspected the site as nominated by Mr Tosh, on the Kendall side of Batar Creek Bridge and reports that the only item of note in this location was an old bridge plank that will be removed by the bridge crew when next in the area. The debris within the creek rates as low priority works when assessed within the risk matrix against other works across the Local Government Area. No evidence of a green waste stockpile was found in this location and Council's work crews from the bridge works in February report that no stockpile was left. It is worth noting the landscape in this area will change in the next 12 months with the reconstruction of Batar Creek Bridge.

Applicant	Mr Norman Tosh
Question 9 Submitted	Council's slow or non-action to remove damaged vehicles or fallen tree limbs from roadway.
Comments	<p>The removal of damaged vehicles from the roadway is a Police issue with Council to support with traffic control or equipment as requested by the Police.</p> <p>In order to provide an accurate response to this question, Council would require more detail and specific locations that can be dealt with outside of this community meeting.</p>
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>Mr Tosh addressed the meeting with regard to the above issue.</p> <p>The DDE addressed this issue in line with the response above.</p>

Applicant	Ms Andrina Dawson
Question 10 Submitted	<p>When Port Macquarie Swimming Pool is open for 11 months each year, heated by expensive electric heating system, why are Camden Haven residents, ratepayers also, disadvantaged by having both their local pools closed as from 28 March and not expected to re-open until September? We who swim / exercise at both local pools regularly seek a re-negotiation of the contract for our area and an explanation of the disparity.</p>
Comments	<p>Council engages contractors to manage the pools within the Local Government Area (LGA). The pool lessee is not obligated to operate the pool beyond the Easter weekend under the current contract, noting that Easter did fall early this year i.e. on the last weekend of March.</p> <p>The previous pool lessee for Kendall, Laurieton and Wauchope pools did experiment with extending the swimming season at Kendall pool on two separate occasions over the past five years. The Kendall pool has solar heating and is able to maintain a higher pool water temperature than Wauchope and Laurieton and whilst there was some community support to extend the swimming season at Kendall, this did not translate into adequate use to financially sustain operating costs for the lessee at the time.</p>
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	<p>Ms Dawson addressed the meeting in line with the above issue.</p> <p>The Mayor asked what the cost benefit analysis Ms Dawson has requested would look at. Ms Dawson stated that the analysis would be around heating of the pool at Kendall and the costs to heat the Port Macquarie pool etc</p> <p>The Director Community & Economic Growth (DCEG) addressed the meeting with regard to the contractual arrangements we have with the various pools and undertook to look at the overall financial analysis of the pools including heating. The DCEG explained that we have recently replaced the heat pumps at the Port Macquarie pool for more efficient ones.</p> <p>The Mayor requested that members of the community put in a submission to the 2016-2017 Operational Plan (incl Budget) that goes on public exhibition next week.</p> <p>Action - the DCEG to undertake some form of financial analysis as detailed above as well as looking at the options for extending the opening hours and length of months open for the Kendall Pool.</p>

Completed Action	<p>Council Reference CRM 8982/2016. The total cost to Council to open Kendall pool for 1 week is approximately \$4,500. The cost per month is \$19,500. Based on an entry fee of \$4 per person this means Kendall pool would need 4,875 visitors per month to break even. This is 1,600 more visitors than it receives in January, the peak month.</p> <p>The capital cost of installing heat pumps that can provide the required level of heating, would be in the vicinity of \$125,000.00 - \$140,000.00. There may also be a requirement to upgrade the incoming power supply to support additional demand for the pumps, which would add approx \$30,000.00 to the overall cost. There would then be the daily operational costs on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>It should be noted that these are preliminary estimates only.</p>
-------------------------	---

Applicant	Mr Jason Koenig Camden Haven Schools to Schools Pathway
Question 11 Submitted	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has Council developed a timeframe or program for progressively building the Schools to Schools pathway and addressing the known pedestrian safety risks along the route? 2. Currently our Charity Group has almost secured enough funds to complete section 18, 335 metres in front of Lakewood shops. In order to evaluate our processes, we would like to ask Council if we are meeting your expectations and/or if you could inform us of other ways we might better contribute? 3. Representatives from the Schools to Schools group recently met with Ministers Duncan Gay, John Andrews and Leslie Williams to provide an overview of some of the priorities in front of us. They said they would discuss this with you. Do you have any further information to add at this time?
Comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are currently no documented plans relating to a timeframe for this pathway. As previously discussed, applications based on grants will drive this program. 2. Council would be happy to discuss expectations and processes etc and a member of the Community & Economic Growth division will be in contact to further discuss this. 3. There has been no discussion with Council on this project in recent months from either Minister Duncan Gay or Leslie Williams MP.

**Meeting Notes /
Proposed Action**

Mr Koenig addressed the meeting with regard to the issues above and whether the pathway is in the draft 2016-2017 Operational Plan and also requested some timeframes around the construction of the pathway.

The DIAM addressed the meeting in line with the response above and talked to the various competing priorities that Council faces when it comes to budgets and various works.

The Mayor addressed the meeting with regard to how roads and footpaths are budgeted and planned for. The Mayor explained how over the past couple of years Council has included \$500,000 for footpaths in the annual Operational Plan / budget; however this is for across the entire local government area and this presents a large challenge from a priority perspective.

The DCEG asked Mr Koenig to maintain contact with Council's Community Place staff with regard to this project.

Mr Steve Martin asked how Council takes into account risk issues as presented by the Ocean Drive / pathway issues.

The Mayor responded that Council takes risk into account in many of the funding decisions; however Ocean Drive is not the only risk Council has to manage. The Mayor stated that the rates residents would have to pay to have footpaths everywhere and between townships would be very high and few people would want to pay them. The Mayor reinforced that people need to be careful in taking risks walking along roadways without footpaths.

Mr Martin referred to a specific area near the links estate where Council has just put in stormwater drain that has meant you are now walking into traffic.

In response to a question from Mr Koenig, the DIAM noted that Council has not heard from either Minister Duncan Gay or Leslie Williams MP following their most recent meeting with the Schools to Schools committee.

Applicant	Mr John Hanlon
Question 12 Submitted	<p>Kendall Pool was constructed over a decade ago with significant input from the local community. I understand that public swimming pools are costly to operate. My questions relate to the management contract and the operating hours of Kendall Pool. In my view the pool is a community facility and it would be expected that the pool operators accept there will be busy times and quiet times, i.e. they will make a profit on some days offset by some days that are not so profitable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could you please summarise the terms of the contract between Council and Swimwell? 2. Does Council undertake regular reviews to ensure that the pool operator is adhering to the conditions of the contract? 3. The pool recently closed for the winter at the end of March 2016. Since then maximum daytime temperatures have been about 30C. Noting that the pool is heated (unlike the outdoor Wauchope and Laurieton pools that are not heated), can the pool opening hours in future be extended to the end of the March/April school holidays and the start of the September/October school holidays? 4. Can the pool opening hours be extended on weekends by opening earlier than the current 8am on Saturdays and 10am on Sundays? 5. How are the pool charges set and in particular have reduced rates for seniors, students and children been considered as is the case at many other aquatic centres? 6. If the pool operators are permitted to charge patrons an additional fee for use of the pontoon (inflatable play equipment) couldn't this additional revenue be used to extend opening hours?

<p>Comments</p>	<p>As per the response to Question 11 above, Council engages contractors to manage the pools within the Local Government Area (LGA). The pool lessee is not obligated to operate the pool beyond the Easter weekend under the current contract, noting that Easter did fall early this year i.e. on the last weekend of March.</p> <p>The previous pool lessee for Kendall, Laurieton and Wauchope pools did experiment with extending the swimming season at Kendall pool on two separate occasions over the past five years. The Kendall pool has solar heating and is able to maintain a higher pool water temperature than Wauchope and Laurieton and whilst there was some community support to extend the swimming season at Kendall, this did not translate into adequate use to financially sustain operating costs for the lessee at the time.</p> <p>The contractor's fees and charges and hours of operation were submitted in their tender application and were subsequently adopted by Council when awarding the contract.</p> <p>As part of a condition of the tender, Council undertakes regular reviews of the contract.</p> <p>As part of a condition of the tender, Council undertakes two reviews per year with the lessee.</p> <p>There are currently no discounts for seniors etc at the Kendall pool as the lessee has set the lowest entry fee possible for all users. Introduction of a discount would need to be considered in the context of the impact on fees for all other pool users i.e. there would more than likely need to be an increase in general entry fees to offset any discounted fees.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>The Mayor referred people to the response provided to Question 10 above with regard to this same issue.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>1.</p>	<p>LATE question from Mandy Yeates</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Run off from building site behind my property. This happened a few months ago. A bank was built to stop overflow. Since then more work has been done on the sewer and the bank has been removed. Refer map. 2. In stage 3 of development another large amount of fill is accumulating and I want to know the outcome of this area as it will affect the south side of my property. Refer map.
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Mandy Yeates addressed the meeting with regard to the issue above. This relates to the Poet's Ridge estate issue.</p> <p>Action - the DDE to provide a response to this issue.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8979/2016. Council inspected the site on 18 April 2016 and contacted Mandy Yeates to provide an update on this matter.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>2.</p>	<p>LATE questions from Justyn Phillips, Kendall Future Directions</p> <p>Kendall Drainage Plan: Sometime ago (during the Administrator) consultations and inspections took place to ratify drainage through Kanada, specifically between Bata Creek Road and Albert Street. I think plans were drawn up but little appears to have been done.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to provide a response to this issue.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8983/2016. The Kendall Stormwater Management Plan was finalized in March 2013 and identified required stormwater mitigation works. As part of the Plan, concept plans were produced, however in order for works to be undertaken detailed designs need to be produced. Council is currently in the process of finalising details for construction designs for the upgrade of the Laurel Street / Batar Creek Road intersection to reduce flooding as identified as the preferred option in the Plan. These works will then be considered for funding in future Operational Plans. Other works between Albert Street and Batar Creek Road, identified in the Plan are through private property and require significant design works before they can progress. Current cost estimates for this work, based on the concept design are prohibitively expensive at approximately \$1.5M.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>3.</p>	<p>LATE questions from Justyn Phillips, Kendall Future Directions</p> <p>Kendall to Kew Pathway: This is part of the schools to beach pathway. I have notice a number of people pushing strollers in a direction with oncoming traffic. It would be nice to uptake priority of this section.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Please refer to the response provided to Question 11 above.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>4.</p>	<p>LATE questions from Justyn Phillips, Kendall Future Directions</p> <p>Ocean Road Lakewood: The road from Lakewood to Kew is rapidly deteriorating. When will a proper fix be scheduled?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to provide a response to this issue.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8985/2016. Council is currently planning to undertake works on Ocean Drive near Waterview Crescent in the coming months. Funding for the rehabilitation of Ocean Drive Lakewood just east of Fairwinds Avenue to Brotherglen Drive has been included in the Draft 2016/17 Operational Plan. These works will also consider upgrades to the Sirius Drive intersection.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>5.</p>	<p>LATE questions from Justyn Phillips, Kendall Future Directions</p> <p>Kendall Community Centre Parking: When you arrived at the hall tonight, you will have noticed the cars angle parking across a poorly formed ditch drain. There is also no formed footpath from the Centre to Bookends and the rest of the street. Could consideration be given to fixing this alignment?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to provide a response to this issue.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8987/2016. Consideration can be given to improving the parking area and completing the required missing footpath link. If more information could be provided on the location of the footpath it can be added to the list of projects to be prioritised for inclusion in the 2016/17 Footpath Program. Currently, improving parking in this location is a low priority when assessed according to Council's risk matrix and prioritised against other projects. It will, however be placed in consideration with all other parking priorities.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>6.</p>	<p>Terry Duff at Batar Creek Road spoke about the amount of traffic on Batar Creek Road and that there are driveways are on a blind bend. Can Council put up a sign about hidden driveways for approaching vehicles?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to investigate this and to provide a response to this issue.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8988/2016. Council staff have inspected the length of Batar Creek Road with specific regards to any hazards presented by driveways. It was determined following this inspection and an assessment of the risks that 'caution driveways' signs are not warranted along Batar Creek Road. These signs are generally only used sparingly as driveways are present on all roads with the exception of limited access motorways and motorists are required to anticipate this occurrence.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>7.</p>	<p>Graham Jackson on Tipperary Road. He was told it would be graded in April 2016 and can be believe that?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM will look into where this is on the schedule.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8989/2016. Council's Grader was working on Koppin-Yarratt Road, towards Lorne with Tipperary Road to be the next road in this area to be graded. Grading along Tipperary Road commenced on 27 April 2016. It should be noted that wet weather may have resulted in delays to grading works.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>8.</p>	<p>Justyn Phillips regarding a Kendall drainage plan - bottom of Laurel St and Batar Creek Road are particularly bad in heavy rains.</p> <p>The Mayor addressed the meeting with regard to stormwater and the challenge Council has in being able to fund major stormwater works.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to provide a response to this issue.</p>

Completed Action	Council Reference CRM 8990/2016. As above, Council is currently in the process of finalising details for construction designs for the upgrade of the Laurel Street / Batar Creek Road intersection to reduce flooding as identified as the preferred option in the Plan. These works will then be considered for funding in future Operational Plans. Given the extent of Council's outstanding works programs a definite time for these works to be undertaken cannot be provided.
-------------------------	---

Question Raised from the Floor	Ashleigh asked about the future location of the skate park and where this is up to.
9.	The DCEG responded that there is a community engagement process to be undertaken shortly and once this is done, decisions can be made moving forward.
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	Action - the DCEG to provide details to the community of when the engagement on the skate park will take place.
Completed Action	<p>Council Reference CRM 8991/2016. Council's Place Facilitation team have been working with the Kendall Op Shop committee since late 2015 in relation to the Op Shop expansion and impacts on the adjoining skate park. Community consultation around this project was coordinated by Council staff and undertaken by the Op Shop committee from 12 February to 14 March 2016.</p> <p>The consultation collateral included informative posters, a fact sheet and survey all of which were available in prominent community buildings and spaces in Kendall as well as local community and sporting group newsletters and the local schools. The survey was also available online at SurveyMonkey. Over 100 responses were received and collated by Council staff. Almost all responses were constructive and positive in nature.</p> <p>From the collected data, it is evident that the skate park or similar family/youth oriented facility is important to a cross-section of the local community and a number of alternative sites were identified. We are currently holding discussion with internal staff so we can progress the matter.</p>

Question Raised from the Floor	Schools to Schools pathway and how dangerous the road is. This resident is a marathon runner and he runs 160kml a week and it is dangerous as there is little space to walk or run along Ocean Drive especially with semi-trailers etc - doing vastly more than 50kml / h.
10.	
Meeting Notes / Proposed Action	The Mayor referred people to the response provided to Question 11 above.

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>11.</p>	<p>Kerris is a resident of Lakewood and is a bike rider and was nearly run down at the corner of Ocean Drive and Captain Cook Drive and he was abused by the driver when they arrived in Laurieton. The key issue is the trucks heading to and from the quarry.</p> <p>The Camden Haven Courier has mentioned on several occasions that there is a review taking place of funding for Ocean Drive upgrades. Is there going to be any funding in the next budget for Ocean Drive.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>The Mayor discussed the 2016-2017 Operational Plan (incl Budget) and that the public will have the ability to make a submission with regard to Ocean Drive.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>12.</p>	<p>Coralie Auld raised the issue of drainage at her property in Mountain Spring Drive, where water runs constantly and has done so for close to her 4 years at this location.</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM will investigate this issue as there has been previous contact to Council from Mrs Auld, but with no or little response. Mrs Auld's details are - 8 Mountain Spring Drive, Ph: 6559 4921.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8993/2016. Council records show Mrs Auld reported that the culvert and nature strip outside her property were constantly wet in March 2015. Council staff attended the site and were unable to locate a leak. Council is aware that there are many natural springs in this area.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>13.</p>	<p>There is land on Albert St near the cemetery, on the southern side of the bridge that is heavily overgrown and presents a fire risk to the community. Could this please be slashed?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DIAM to investigate this issue further.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8994/2016. This area will require an onsite assessment by Councils bushfire management officer, which is scheduled to occur in July. Following the assessment, Council can determine the requirements for maintenance.</p>

<p>Question Raised from the Floor</p> <p>14.</p>	<p>Annie Haldane thanked Council for doing some tree work in the park and removing dangerous limbs. There is now a tree with a yellow ribbon around it; can someone explain what that means?</p>
<p>Meeting Notes / Proposed Action</p>	<p>Action - the DCEG to investigate this and provide a response.</p>
<p>Completed Action</p>	<p>Council Reference CRM 8995/2016. Council did complete tree works in the area earlier this year, however, we did not place a yellow ribbon on the tree.</p>